

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/556,069	ALLAVARPU ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Wen-Tai Lin	2154	

All Participants:

(1) Wen-Tai Lin.

(2) Robert Kowert.
Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 11 February 2005
Time: 11:30AM
Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1,13,,25,31 and 33

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner called Mr. Kowert for discussion of a recommended amendment in view of Applicant's arguments in the appeal brief. Mr. Kowert agreed to use the examiner's amendment as a means for implementing the suggested amendment.

Part III.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Wen-Tai Lin 2/11/05
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(_____
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)